Despite state denial, xenophobia is highly destructive force in SA

NATHAN CRUSH

VIOLENT x enophobia has become a regular feature of South African life. The City of Johannesburg's removal of more than 2000 informal traders last year in Operation Clean Sweep was only the latest in a series of actions with strikling parallels to citizen violence against migrants. The police regularly engage in high-profile but ultimately ineffectual compaignes to purge cities of nigrants. The public not only of the company of the compan

the victims of the 2008 xenophobic attacks, then president Thabo Mbeki

said he had never met a xenophobic South African.

His argument that attacks on migrants are acts of criminality, not xenophobia, has long outlived his own political life and remains the official basic life and remains the official basic life on the governing an upsurpe of attacks on Somall shopowners, the Minister of International Relations and Cooperation, Maite Nikoana-Mashabane, announced that "the looting, displacement and killing of foreigners should not be viewed as xenophobic attacks, but as xenophobic attacks, but as xenophobic attacks, but as xenophobic attacks, but as the viewed as xenophobic and called on communities to be vigilant agains "the possible resurgence of criminal violence against foreign nationals".

Despite the xenophobia denialism, these buttal attacks on nigrants are just one more, abeit extreme, manifestation of xenophobia—it really is as simple as

that. Certainly the actions of the per-petrators are crimes under South African law, but that is not the same thing as saying that the rationale for these attacks was criminally rather than xenophobia, as if the two are not intimately connected.

For others, xenophobia certainly exists but is seen as superficial and insufficient to explain the ferocity of attacks on migrans and refuges.

These xenophobia minimalists the control of the co

leads to victimisation or the most vulnerable.

But xenophobia denialism and xenophobia minimalism ignore the evidence that most South Africans hold extremely negative views about migrants and refugees and want the charlest covergies greater coercive

migrants and retugees and want the state to exercise greater coercive powers to get rid of them. These views are suffused with a powerful set of myths about migrants and their supposed threat

to the interests of citizens.

Asked to explain the reasons for the nationwide violence against migrants in May 2008, nearly two-thirds of respondents (64 percent) blanden migrants for crime.

Other leading explanations were that migrants take jobs from South Africans (62 percent), that they are "culturally different" (69 percent), (66 percent), that they are "realized to the services for free (65 percent), that they "take RDP houses" (52 percent) and that they "steal" South African women (52 percent).

The Southern African Migration Programme (54MP) has recently developed a scopphoba index (the SXI) which measures the levels of intolerance displayed by South Africans.

A nationwide 2010 survey found that the highest SXI socres were among South African and that the African Indians.

Afrikann-speakers and the

Afrikaans-speakers and the uneducated. The survey also found that the SXI increased with

increasing household income. In other words, contrary to expectations, the poorest are expectations, the poorest are actually the least xenophobic. The SXI declines the more interaction a person has with foreigners.

In South sites the more interaction a person has with foreigners.

In South sites are the second of the sec

neighbourhood or operating a business there. Fifteen percent would gang up to force a migrant to leave the community and 9 percent would resort to violence to do so. With this level of willingness to take violent action against migrants and refugees, it is not surprising that attacks have continued throughout the country since 2006 and so the total percentage of the country since 2006 and so the spots that exploded in an orgy of violence back then.

Given the upsurge in attacks on migrant and refugee businesses in the last five years, the willingness of a significant minority of South Afficians to prevent them operating is alarming.

Failure to protect migrants' lives and property by police and other government personnel during attacks in evitably marks them out as studies and property by continued the protect migrants' lives and property by continued the protect migrants' lives and property by solice and other government personnel during attacks in evitably marks them out as vulnerability to such aggression.

The prosecution of perpetrators is non existent or inadequate, leading to a culture of inpunity

wily disown the existence of xenophobia for which there is abundant quantitative and qualitative research evidence?

abundant quantitative and qualitative research evidence? Perhaps it makes South Africa look better to the rest of Africa, especially with a South Africa now chairwoman of the Africa nu Violence to be externalised to shadow, anti-social criminal elements and not seen as a systemic problem within the state itself and within civil society? Whatever the explanation, it certainly illustrates a continuing lack of political will to own the problem and act against one of the most destructive and anti-democratic forces in post-apartheld South Africa.

◆ Crush is honorary professor at UCT and will speak at a February 10-11 conference on Urban Informality and Migrant Entrepreneurship in Southern African Cities, at UCT's Graduate School of Business.